

EXHIBIT 30

APPENDIX

TABLES

TABLE 1A
PUBLIC FINANCE DEPARTMENT - DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS - RIF I

GROUP	EMPLOYEES AT RISK AS OF 12/17/2007			EMPLOYEES LAID-OFF IN RIF I			PERCENT OF TOTAL EMPLOYEES WHO WERE:	
	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	LAID-OFF
CREDIT & FIN PRODUCTS	7	18	25	2	2	4	28.0%	16.0%
FINANCIAL STRUCTURING	0	7	7	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
SHORT-TERM GROUP	1	1	2	0	0	0	50.0%	0.0%
AIRPORTS	2	4	6	1	1	2	33.3%	33.3%
HEALTHCARE	13	27	40	1	1	2	32.5%	5.0%
HOUSING	4	6	10	1	1	2	40.0%	20.0%
INFRASTRUCTURE	4	19	23	0	3	3	17.4%	13.0%
POWER	0	10	10	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
CENTRAL REGION	1	7	8	0	0	0	12.5%	0.0%
MID-ATLANTIC REGION	3	5	8	0	1	1	37.5%	12.5%
NORTHEAST	4	14	18	0	0	0	22.2%	0.0%
PR TRANSFER	0	1	1	0	1	1	0.0%	100.0%
SOUTHEAST	4	13	17	0	2	2	23.5%	11.8%
SOUTHWEST	0	9	9	0	1	1	0.0%	11.1%
WEST	5	12	17	3	1	4	29.4%	23.5%
OVERALL:	48	153	201	8	14	22	23.9%	10.9%

Note: Employees include Analysts, Associates, Assistant Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, Directors, and Managing Directors.

TABLE 1B
PUBLIC FINANCE DEPARTMENT - DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS - RIF II

GROUP	EMPLOYEES AT RISK AS OF 3/16/2008			EMPLOYEES LAID-OFF IN RIF II			PERCENT OF TOTAL EMPLOYEES WHO WERE:	
	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	LAID-OFF
CREDIT & FIN PRODUCTS	5	15	20	0	1	1	25.0%	5.0%
FINANCIAL STRUCTURING	0	7	7	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
SHORT-TERM GROUP	1	1	2	0	0	0	50.0%	0.0%
AIRPORTS	1	3	4	0	0	0	25.0%	0.0%
HEALTHCARE	11	27	38	0	0	0	28.9%	0.0%
HOUSING	3	5	8	0	1	1	37.5%	12.5%
INFRASTRUCTURE	4	16	20	0	0	0	20.0%	0.0%
POWER	0	10	10	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
CENTRAL REGION	1	7	8	0	0	0	12.5%	0.0%
MID-ATLANTIC REGION	3	4	7	0	0	0	42.9%	0.0%
NORTHEAST	4	14	18	0	0	0	22.2%	0.0%
SOUTHEAST	4	11	15	1	0	1	26.7%	6.7%
SOUTHWEST	0	8	8	0	1	1	0.0%	12.5%
WEST	2	11	13	0	0	0	15.4%	0.0%
OVERALL:	39	139	178	1	3	4	21.9%	2.2%

Note: Employees include Analysts, Associates, Assistant Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, Directors, and Managing Directors.

TABLE 1C
PUBLIC FINANCE DEPARTMENT - DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS - RIF III

GROUP	EMPLOYEES AT RISK AS OF 6/22/2008			EMPLOYEES LAID-OFF IN RIF III			PERCENT OF TOTAL EMPLOYEES WHO WERE:	
	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	LAID-OFF
ADMINISTRATION	3	0	3	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
CREDIT & FIN PRODUCTS	5	14	19	2	1	3	26.3%	15.8%
FINANCIAL STRUCTURING	0	7	7	0	2	2	0.0%	28.6%
SHORT-TERM GROUP	1	1	2	0	0	0	50.0%	0.0%
AIRPORTS	1	3	4	0	1	1	25.0%	25.0%
HEALTHCARE	12	27	39	0	4	4	30.8%	10.3%
HOUSING	3	4	7	0	2	2	42.9%	28.6%
INFRASTRUCTURE	4	16	20	0	0	0	20.0%	0.0%
POWER	0	10	10	0	1	1	0.0%	10.0%
CENTRAL REGION	1	7	8	0	1	1	12.5%	12.5%
MID-ATLANTIC REGION	3	4	7	0	0	0	42.9%	0.0%
NORTHEAST	4	14	18	0	0	0	22.2%	0.0%
SOUTHEAST	3	11	14	0	0	0	21.4%	0.0%
SOUTHWEST	0	7	7	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
WEST	2	11	13	0	1	1	15.4%	7.7%
OVERALL:	42	136	178	2	13	15	23.6%	8.4%

Note: Employees include Analysts, Associates, Assistant Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, Directors, and Managing Directors.

TABLE 1D
PUBLIC FINANCE DEPARTMENT - DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS - RIF IV

GROUP	EMPLOYEES AT RISK AS OF 11/17/2008			EMPLOYEES LAID-OFF IN RIF IV			PERCENT OF TOTAL EMPLOYEES WHO WERE:	
	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	LAID-OFF
ADMINISTRATION	3	0	3	0	0	0	100.0%	0.0%
CREDIT & FIN PRODUCTS	2	8	10	0	3	3	20.0%	30.0%
FINANCIAL STRUCTURING	1	4	5	0	0	0	20.0%	0.0%
SHORT-TERM GROUP	1	1	2	0	0	0	50.0%	0.0%
AIRPORTS	2	2	4	0	0	0	50.0%	0.0%
HEALTHCARE	14	22	36	3	1	4	38.9%	11.1%
HOUSING	3	4	7	3	1	4	42.9%	57.1%
INFRASTRUCTURE	3	15	18	3	2	5	16.7%	27.8%
POWER	0	9	9	0	2	2	0.0%	22.2%
CENTRAL REGION	1	6	7	1	0	1	14.3%	14.3%
MID-ATLANTIC REGION	3	4	7	0	2	2	42.9%	28.6%
NORTHEAST	3	15	18	1	2	3	16.7%	16.7%
SOUTHEAST	2	11	13	1	1	2	15.4%	15.4%
SOUTHWEST	0	7	7	0	2	2	0.0%	28.6%
WEST	2	11	13	1	0	1	15.4%	7.7%
OVERALL:	40	119	159	13	16	29	25.2%	18.2%

Note: Employees include Analysts, Associates, Assistant Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, Directors, and Managing Directors.

TABLE 2A
EMPLOYEES AT RISK AND LAID-OFF IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS, BY POSITION AND GENDER - RIF I

RIF I - Counts as of 12/17/2007	POSITION	HEALTHCARE			HOUSING			INFRASTRUCTURE			SOUTHEAST		
		WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL
ANALYST	AT RISK:	3	4	7	2	1	3	2	5	7	2	0	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
ASSOCIATE	AT RISK:	3	3	6	0	1	1	1	4	5	0	2	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
ASST. VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0
VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	2	3	5	1	2	3	-	-	-	2	1	3
	LAID-OFF:	1	1	2	0	1	1	-	-	-	0	0	0
DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	2	10	12	1	1	2	1	6	7	0	7	7
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	1
MANAGING DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	2	6	8	0	1	1	0	4	4	0	2	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OVERALL	AT RISK:	13	27	40	4	6	10	4	19	23	4	13	17
	LAID-OFF:	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	3	3	0	2	2

TABLE 2B
EMPLOYEES AT RISK AND LAID-OFF IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS, BY POSITION AND GENDER - RIF II

RIF II - Counts as of 3/16/2008	POSITION	HEALTHCARE			HOUSING			INFRASTRUCTURE			SOUTHEAST		
		WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL
ANALYST	AT RISK:	3	6	9	1	1	2	2	4	6	2	0	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASSOCIATE	AT RISK:	1	3	4	0	1	1	0	3	3	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASST. VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	2	0	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	1	2	3	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	1	1	-	-	-	1	0	1
DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	1	10	11	1	1	2	1	3	4	1	5	6
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MANAGING DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	3	6	9	0	1	1	0	5	5	0	3	3
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OVERALL	AT RISK:	11	27	38	3	5	8	4	16	20	4	11	15
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1

TABLE 2C
EMPLOYEES AT RISK AND LAID-OFF IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS, BY POSITION AND GENDER - RIF III

RIF III - Counts as of 6/22/2008	POSITION	HEALTHCARE			HOUSING			INFRASTRUCTURE			SOUTHEAST		
		WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL
ANALYST	AT RISK:	3	6	9	1	1	2	2	4	6	2	0	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASSOCIATE	AT RISK:	1	3	4	0	1	1	0	3	3	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASST. VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	3	0	3	-	-	-	1	1	2	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	1	2	3	1	0	1	-	-	-	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	1	1	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0
DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	1	10	11	1	1	2	1	3	4	1	5	6
	LAID-OFF:	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MANAGING DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	3	6	9	0	1	1	0	5	5	0	3	3
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
OVERALL	AT RISK:	12	27	39	3	4	7	4	16	20	3	11	14
	LAID-OFF:	0	4	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 2D
EMPLOYEES AT RISK AND LAID-OFF IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS, BY POSITION AND GENDER - RIF IV

RIF IV - Counts as of 11/17/2008	POSITION	HEALTHCARE			HOUSING			INFRASTRUCTURE			SOUTHEAST		
		WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL
ANALYST	AT RISK:	5	4	9	1	1	2	1	3	4	-	-	-
	LAID-OFF:	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	-	-	-
ASSOCIATE	AT RISK:	1	3	4	0	2	2	0	3	3	1	1	2
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASST. VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	3	0	3	-	-	-	1	1	2	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	1	0	1	-	-	-	1	0	1	0	0	0
VICE PRESIDENT	AT RISK:	1	1	2	1	0	1	-	-	-	0	1	1
	LAID-OFF:	0	0	0	1	0	1	-	-	-	0	0	0
DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	1	9	10	1	1	2	1	4	5	1	5	6
	LAID-OFF:	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	3	1	1	2
MANAGING DIRECTOR	AT RISK:	3	5	8	-	-	-	0	4	4	0	3	3
	LAID-OFF:	0	1	1	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
OVERALL	AT RISK:	14	22	36	3	4	7	3	15	18	2	11	13
	LAID-OFF:	3	1	4	3	1	4	3	2	5	1	1	2

TABLE 3
CROSS-GROUP REGRESSIONS OF PERCENT RIFFED ON PERCENT WOMEN: RIF I - RIF IV

ESTIMATION METHOD	RIF I			RIF II			RIF III			RIF IV		
	SAMPLE SIZE	COEFFICIENT	P-VALUE	SAMPLE SIZE	COEFFICIENT	P-VALUE	SAMPLE SIZE	COEFFICIENT	P-VALUE	SAMPLE SIZE	COEFFICIENT	P-VALUE
ORDINARY LEAST (1) SQUARES	15	-0.315	0.572	14	-0.01	0.926	15	-0.102	0.243	15	-0.181	0.096
WEIGHTED (2) ORDINARY LEAST SQUARES	15	0.193	0.312	14	0.007	0.955	15	-0.032	0.807	15	-0.131	0.414

Note: A p -value that is greater than 0.05 corresponds to a t -statistic that is not statistically significant for a two-tail test at the 95 percent level of confidence; p -values for the Ordinary Least Squares results above are calculated using robust standard errors.

TABLE 4A
EXACT TEST RESULTS FOR INDEPENDENCE OF GENDER AND RIF
IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS AND POSITIONS - BARTOLETTI

SAMPLE	RIF I		RIF II		RIF III		RIF IV	
	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE
(1) DIRECTORS in HOUSING GROUP	No RIFs		No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000
(2) VICE PRESIDENTs and DIRECTORs in HOUSING GROUP	Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	1.000	No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	0.333
(3) DIRECTORs and MANAGING DIRECTORs in HOUSING GROUP	No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	1.000
(4) ANALYSTs, ASSOCIATES, ASST. VICE PRESIDENTs, VICE PRESIDENTs, DIRECTORs, MANAGING DIRECTORs in HOUSING GROUP	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	0.500

Note: The Zelen Exact Test for the Homogeneity of Odds Ratios, when computable (i.e., RIF 1), does not reject the null hypothesis for Sample (4).

TABLE 4B
EXACT TEST RESULTS FOR INDEPENDENCE OF GENDER AND RIF
IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS AND POSITIONS - CONLEY

SAMPLE	RIF I		RIF II		RIF III		RIF IV	
	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE
(1) DIRECTORS in HEALTHCARE GROUP	No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	0.100
(2) VICE PRESIDENTs and DIRECTORs in HEALTHCARE GROUP	Fisher's Exact	0.427	No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	0.167
(3) DIRECTORs and MANAGING DIRECTORs in HEALTHCARE GROUP	No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	0.405
(4) ANALYSTs, ASSOCIATEs, ASST. VICE PRESIDENTs, VICE PRESIDENTs, DIRECTORs, MANAGING DIRECTORs in HEALTHCARE GROUP	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	No RIFs		Exact Test of Conditional Independence	0.568	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	0.260

Note: The Zelen Exact Test for the Homogeneity of Odds Ratios, when computable (i.e., RIF IV), does not reject the null hypothesis for Sample (4).

TABLE 4C
EXACT TEST RESULTS FOR INDEPENDENCE OF GENDER AND RIF
IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS AND POSITIONS - MENTOR

SAMPLE	RIF I		RIF II		RIF III		RIF IV	
	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE
(1) VICE PRESIDENTS in SOUTHEAST GROUP	No RIFs		Not Relevant		Not Relevant		Not Relevant	
(2) DIRECTORS in SOUTHEAST GROUP	Not Relevant		No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	0.333
(3) VICE PRESIDENTS and DIRECTORS in SOUTHEAST GROUP	Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	0.250	No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	0.286
(4) DIRECTORS and MANAGING DIRECTORS in SOUTHEAST GROUP	Not Relevant		No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	0.222
(5) ANALYSTS, ASSOCIATES, ASST. VICE PRESIDENTS, VICE PRESIDENTS, DIRECTORS, MANAGING DIRECTORS in SOUTHEAST GROUP	Exact Test of Conditional Independence not computable		Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	No RIFs		Exact Test of Conditional Independence	0.333

Note: The Zelen Exact Test for the Homogeneity of Odds Ratios could not be constructed for any of the RIF events in Sample (5).

TABLE 4D
EXACT TEST RESULTS FOR INDEPENDENCE OF GENDER AND RIF
IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS AND POSITIONS - SHARPTON

SAMPLE	RIF I		RIF II		RIF III		RIF IV	
	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE
(1) ANALYSTS in INFRASTRUCTURE GROUP	Fisher's Exact	1.000	No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	0.250
(2) ANALYSTS and ASSOCIATES in INFRASTRUCTURE GROUP	Fisher's Exact	1.000	No RIFs		No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	0.143
(3) ANALYSTS, ASSOCIATES, ASST. VICE PRESIDENTS, VICE PRESIDENTS, DIRECTORS, MANAGING DIRECTORS in INFRASTRUCTURE GROUP	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	No RIFs		No RIFs		Exact Test of Conditional Independence	0.075

Note: The Zelen Exact Test for the Homogeneity of Odds Ratios could not be constructed for any of the RIF events in Sample (3).

TABLE 4E
EXACT TEST RESULTS FOR INDEPENDENCE OF GENDER AND RIF
IN PLAINTIFFS' GROUPS AND POSITIONS - SIU

SAMPLE	RIF I		RIF II		RIF III		RIF IV	
	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE	TEST	P-VALUE
(1) ANALYSTs in HOUSING GROUP	Fisher's Exact	1.000	No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	1.000
(2) ANALYSTs and ASSOCIATES in HOUSING GROUP	Fisher's Exact	1.000	No RIFs		Fisher's Exact	1.000	Fisher's Exact	1.000
(3) ANALYSTs, ASSOCIATES, ASST. VICE PRESIDENTs, VICE PRESIDENTs, DIRECTORs, MANAGING DIRECTORs in HOUSING GROUP	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	1.000	Exact Test of Conditional Independence	0.500

Note: The Zelen Exact Test for the Homogeneity of Odds Ratios, when computable (i.e., RIF I), does not reject the null hypothesis for Sample (3).